

Institute for Interlaboratory Studies

# Results of Proficiency Test Phthalates in Leather/Footwear February 2022



# CONTENTS

1		3
2	SET UP	3
2.1	QUALITY SYSTEM	3
2.2	PROTOCOL	3
2.3	CONFIDENTIALITY STATEMENT	4
2.4	SAMPLES	4
2.5	ANALYZES	5
3	RESULTS	6
3.1	STATISTICS	6
3.2	GRAPHICS	7
3.3	Z-SCORES	7
4	EVALUATION	8
4.1	EVALUATION PER SAMPLE AND PER COMPONENT	8
4.2	PERFORMANCE EVALUATION FOR THE GROUP OF LABORATORIES	10
4.3	EVALUATION OF THE PROFICIENCY TEST OF FEBRUARY 2022 WITH PREVIOUS PTS	10
4.4	EVALUATION OF THE ANALYTICAL DETAILS	11
5	DISCUSSION	12
6	CONCLUSION	12

# Appendices:

1.	Data, statistical and graphic results	13
2.	Summary of other Phthalates	18
3.	Analytical details	22
4.	Number of participants per country	23
5.	Abbreviations and literature	24

# 1 INTRODUCTION

Phthalates is a restricted substance in a lot of applications. In the EU Phthalates are regulated in polymers by EC 1907/2006 (REACH) with a limit of 0.1%M/M. Furthermore, some Ecolabel organizations have mentioned limits for the use of Phthalates in consumer items like Textile and Leather. Well-known Ecolabelling organizations are OEKO-TEX<sup>®</sup> and BlueSign<sup>®</sup>.

Since 2017 the Institute for Interlaboratory Studies (iis) organizes a proficiency scheme for the determination of Phthalates in Leather/Footwear every year. During the annual proficiency testing program 2021/2022 it was decided to continue the proficiency test for the determination of Phthalates in Leather/Footwear.

In this interlaboratory study 49 laboratories in 24 countries registered for participation, see appendix 4 for the number of participants per country. In this report the results of the Phthalates in Leather/Footwear proficiency test are presented and discussed. This report is also electronically available through the iis website www.iisnl.com.

# 2 SET UP

The Institute for Interlaboratory Studies (iis) in Spijkenisse, the Netherlands, was the organizer of this proficiency test (PT). Sample analyzes for fit-for-use and homogeneity testing were subcontracted to an ISO/IEC17025 accredited laboratory. It was decided to send two different leather samples of 3 grams each labelled #22505 and #22506. The samples were positive on some Phthalates. The participants were requested to report rounded and unrounded test results. The

The participants were requested to report rounded and unrounded test results. The unrounded test results were preferably used for statistical evaluation.

# 2.1 QUALITY SYSTEM

The Institute for Interlaboratory Studies in Spijkenisse, the Netherlands, has implemented a quality system based on ISO/IEC17043:2010. This ensures strict adherence to protocols for sample preparation and statistical evaluation and 100% confidentiality of participant's data. Feedback from the participants on the reported data is encouraged and customer's satisfaction is measured on a regular basis by sending out questionnaires.

# 2.2 PROTOCOL

The protocol followed in the organization of this proficiency test was the one as described for proficiency testing in the report 'iis Interlaboratory Studies: Protocol for the Organisation, Statistics and Evaluation' of June 2018 (iis-protocol, version 3.5). This protocol is electronically available through the iis website www.iisnl.com, from the FAQ page.

# 2.3 CONFIDENTIALITY STATEMENT

All data presented in this report must be regarded as confidential and for use by the participating companies only. Disclosure of the information in this report is only allowed by means of the entire report. Use of the contents of this report for third parties is only allowed by written permission of the Institute for Interlaboratory Studies. Disclosure of the identity of one or more of the participating companies will be done only after receipt of a written agreement of the companies involved.

# 2.4 SAMPLES

For the first sample a batch of grey leather, positive for Di-iso-butyl phthalate (DIBP) and Din-pentyl phthalate (DNPP), was selected. After homogenization 100 small bags were filled with approximately 3 grams each and labelled #22505.

The homogeneity of the subsamples was checked by determination of DIBP and DNPP in accordance with an in-house method on 7 stratified randomly selected subsamples.

	DIBP in %M/M	DNPP in %M/M		
sample #22505-1	0.0800	0.0890		
sample #22505-2	0.0752	0.0757		
sample #22505-3	0.0927	0.0868		
sample #22505-4	0.0875	0.0875		
sample #22505-5	0.0801	0.0860		
sample #22505-6	0.0832	0.0813		
sample #22505-7	0.0797	0.0785		

Table 1: homogeneity test results of subsamples #22505

From the above test results the repeatabilities were calculated and compared with 0.3 times the corresponding reproducibility of the reference method in agreement with the procedure of ISO13528, Annex B2 in the next table.

	DIBP in %M/M	DNPP in %M/M
r (observed)	0.0163	0.0142
reference method	iis memo 2201	iis memo 2201
0.3 x R (reference method)	0.0146	0.0147

Table 2: evaluation of the repeatabilities of subsamples #22505, see also paragraph 4.1 for more details

The calculated repeatabilities were in agreement with 0.3 times the corresponding reproducibility of the reference method. Therefore, homogeneity of the subsamples was assumed.

For the second sample a batch of a brown grinded leather, positive for Benzyl butyl phthalate (BBP) and Di-n-octyl phthalate (DNOP), was selected. After homogenization 80 small bags were filled with approximately 3 grams each and labelled #22506.

The homogeneity of the subsamples was checked by determination of BBP and DNOP in accordance with an in-house method on 10 stratified randomly selected subsamples.

	BBP in %M/M	DNOP in %M/M
sample #22506-1	0.1260	0.1655
sample #22506-2	0.1159	0.1554
sample #22506-3	0.1138	0.1559
sample #22506-4	0.1138	0.1472
sample #22506-5	0.1071	0.1481
sample #22506-6	0.1141	0.1554
sample #22506-7	0.1127	0.1477
sample #22506-8	0.1206	0.1610
sample #22506-9	0.1317	0.1758
sample #22506-10	0.1279	0.1739

Table 3: homogeneity test results of subsamples #22506

From the above test results the repeatabilities were calculated and compared with 0.3 times the corresponding reproducibility of the reference method in agreement with the procedure of ISO13528, Annex B2 in the next table.

	BBP in %M/M	DNOP in %M/M
r (observed)	0.0220	0.0290
reference method	iis memo 2201	iis memo 2201
0.3 x R (reference method)	0.0209	0.0280

Table 4: evaluation of the repeatabilities of subsamples #22506, see also paragraph 4.1 for more details

The calculated repeatabilities were in agreement with 0.3 times the corresponding reproducibility of the reference method. Therefore, homogeneity of the subsamples was assumed.

To each of the participating laboratories one leather sample labelled #22505 and one leather sample labelled #22506 was sent on February 2, 2022.

# 2.5 ANALYZES

The participants were requested to determine on samples #22505 and #22506, sixteen individual Phthalates (see appendices 1 and 2) and eventually other Phthalates when identified. It was also requested to report if the laboratory was accredited for the determined components and some analytical details.

Furthermore, to ensure the homogeneity it was requested to not use less than 0.5 gram per determination. And not to dry or age the sample, nor determine volatile matter.

It was explicitly requested to treat the samples as if they were routine samples and to report the test results using the indicated units on the report form and not to round the test results but report as much significant figures as possible. It was also requested not to report 'less than' results which are above the detection limit, because such results cannot be used for meaningful statistical evaluations.

To get comparable test results a detailed report form and a letter of instructions are prepared. On the report form the reporting units are given as well as the reference test methods (when applicable) that will be used during the evaluation. The detailed report form and the letter of instructions are both made available on the data entry portal www.kpmd.co.uk/sgs-iis-cts/. The participating laboratories are also requested to confirm the sample receipt on this data entry portal. The letter of instructions can also be downloaded from the iis website www.iisnl.com.

## 3 RESULTS

During five weeks after sample dispatch, the results of the participants were gathered via the data entry portal www.kpmd.co.uk/sgs-iis-cts/. The reported test results are tabulated per sample and determination in appendices 1 and 2 of this report. The laboratories are presented by the code numbers.

Directly after the deadline a reminder was sent to those laboratories that had not reported test results at that moment. Shortly after the deadline, the available test results were screened for suspect data. A test result was called suspect in case the Huber Elimination Rule (a robust outlier test) found it to be an outlier. The laboratories that produced these suspect data were asked to check the reported test results (no reanalyzes). Additional or corrected test results are used for the data analysis and the original results are placed under 'Remarks' in the result tables in appendices 1 or 2. Test results that came in after the deadline were not taken into account in this screening for suspect data and thus these participants were not requested for checks.

#### 3.1 STATISTICS

The protocol followed in the organization of this proficiency test was the one as described for proficiency testing in the report 'iis Interlaboratory Studies: Protocol for the Organisation, Statistics and Evaluation' of June 2018 (iis-protocol, version 3.5). For the statistical evaluation the *unrounded* (when available) figures were used instead of the rounded test results. Test results reported as '<...' or '>...' were not used in the statistical evaluation.

First, the normality of the distribution of the various data sets per determination was checked by means of the Lilliefors-test, a variant of the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test and by the calculation of skewness and kurtosis. Evaluation of the three normality indicators in combination with the visual evaluation of the graphic Kernel density plot, lead to judgement of the normality being either 'unknown', 'OK', 'suspect' or 'not OK'. After removal of outliers, this check was repeated. If a data set does not have a normal distribution, the (results of the) statistical evaluation should be used with due care. The assigned value is determined by consensus based on the test results of the group of participants after rejection of the statistical outliers and/or suspect data.

According to ISO13528 all (original received or corrected) results per determination were submitted to outlier tests. In the iis procedure for proficiency tests, outliers are detected prior to calculation of the mean, standard deviation and reproducibility. For small data sets, Dixon (up to 20 test results) or Grubbs (up to 40 test results) outlier tests can be used. For larger data sets (above 20 test results) Rosner's outlier test can be used. Outliers are marked by D(0.01) for the Dixon's test, by G(0.01) or DG(0.01) for the Grubbs' test and by R(0.01) for the Rosner's test. Stragglers are marked by D(0.05) for the Dixon's test and by R(0.05) or DG(0.05) for the Grubbs' test and by R(0.05) for the Rosner's test. Both outliers and stragglers were not included in the calculations of averages and standard deviations.

For each assigned value, the uncertainty was determined in accordance with ISO13528. Subsequently the calculated uncertainty was evaluated against the respective requirement based on the target reproducibility in accordance with ISO13528. In this PT, the criterion of ISO13528, paragraph 9.2.1 was met for all evaluated tests, therefore, the uncertainty of all assigned values may be negligible and need not be included in the PT report.

Finally, the reproducibilities were calculated from the standard deviations by multiplying them with a factor of 2.8.

## 3.2 GRAPHICS

In order to visualize the data against the reproducibilities from literature, Gauss plots were made, using the sorted data for one determination (see appendix 1). On the Y-axis the reported test results are plotted. The corresponding laboratory numbers are on the X-xis. The straight horizontal line presents the consensus value (a trimmed mean). The four striped lines, parallel to the consensus value line, are the +3s, +2s, -2s and -3s target reproducibility limits of the selected reference test method. Outliers and other data, which were excluded from the calculations, are represented as a cross. Accepted data are represented as a triangle.

Furthermore, Kernel Density Graphs were made. This is a method for producing a smooth density approximation to a set of data that avoids some problems associated with histograms. Also, a normal Gauss curve (dotted line) was projected over the Kernel Density Graph (smooth line) for reference. The Gauss curve is calculated from the consensus value and the corresponding standard deviation.

# 3.3 Z-SCORES

To evaluate the performance of the participating laboratories the z-scores were calculated. As it was decided to evaluate the performance of the participants in this proficiency test (PT) against the literature requirements (derived from e.g. ISO or ASTM test methods), the z-scores were calculated using a target standard deviation. This results in an evaluation independent of the variation in this interlaboratory study. The target standard deviation was calculated from the literature reproducibility by division with 2.8. In case no literature reproducibility was available, other target values were used, like Horwitz or an estimated reproducibility based on former iis proficiency tests.

When a laboratory did use a test method with a reproducibility that is significantly different from the reproducibility of the reference test method used in this report, it is strongly advised to recalculate the z-score, while using the reproducibility of the actual test method used, this in order to evaluate whether the reported test result is fit-for-use.

The z-scores were calculated according to:

```
z<sub>(target)</sub> = (test result - average of PT) / target standard deviation
```

The  $z_{(target)}$  scores are listed in the test result tables in appendix 1.

Absolute values for z<2 are very common and absolute values for z>3 are very rare. Therefore, the usual interpretation of z-scores is as follows:

	z	< 1	good
1 <	z	< 2	satisfactory
2 <	z	< 3	questionable
3 <	z		unsatisfactory

## 4 EVALUATION

In this proficiency test no problems were encountered with the dispatch of the samples. Four participants reported test results after the final reporting date and four other participants did not report any test results. Not all laboratories were able to report all components requested. In total 45 laboratories reported 220 numerical test results. Observed were 7 outlying test results, which is 3.2%.

In proficiency studies outlier percentages of 3% - 7.5% are quite normal.

Not all data sets proved to have a normal Gaussian distribution. These are referred to as "not OK" or "suspect". The statistical evaluation of these data sets should be used with due care, see also paragraph 3.1.

#### 4.1 EVALUATION PER SAMPLE AND PER COMPONENT

In this section the reported test results are discussed per sample and per component. The test methods which were used by the various laboratories were taken into account for explaining the observed differences when possible and applicable. These test methods are also in the tables together with the original data in appendix 1. The abbreviations, used in these tables, are explained in appendix 5.

Test method ISO16181 is considered to be the official test method for Phthalates in Leather/Footwear. Unfortunately, this test method provides a variety of unclear precision data. Therefore, the target reproducibility used for the evaluation of the test results of Phthalates in Polymers as prescribed in iis memo 1701 was also used for the evaluation of the quality of the test results of Phthalates in Leather/Footwear.

However, with the progress of the iis PTs of Phthalates in Leather/Footwear it was noticed that the relative standard deviations (RSD%) for Phthalates in iis PTs in Polymers is on average somewhat better than in iis PTs in Leather. Therefore, it was decided in 2022 not to use the target reproducibility based on Phthalates in Polymers any longer, but to develop a new target reproducibility based on the iis PTs for Phthalates in PTs in Leather/Footwear with the aim to estimate a more realistic target reproducibility for Phthalates in Leather/Footwear. An iis memo 2201 was made in which the development of the new precision data has been prescribed. The PT data for iis memo2201 are obtained from 6 iis PTs and 26 different data sets for 12 different Phthalates. It is observed that the relative standard deviation of the subsequent PTs and the individual Phthalates is not dependent on the individual Phthalates and therefore one target reproducibility for all individual Phthalates has been developed. The target reproducibility based on iis memo 2201 was estimated as the relative standard deviation (21%) of the mean multiplied by 2.8. This was used for the evaluation of the quality of the test results in this PT.

#### sample #22505

<u>DINP:</u>	The determination was not problematic. Two statistical outliers were observed. The calculated reproducibility after rejection of the statistical outliers is in full agreement with the reproducibility derived from iis memo 2201.
<u>DIBP:</u>	The determination was not problematic. No statistical outliers were observed. The calculated reproducibility is in agreement with the reproducibility derived from iis memo 2201.
<u>DNPP:</u>	The determination was not problematic. Two statistical outliers were observed. The calculated reproducibility after rejection of the statistical outliers is in agreement with the reproducibility derived from iis memo 2201.

The concentrations of the other reported Phthalates were near or below the detection limit. Therefore, for these components no z-scores were calculated, see appendix 2 for the reported test results.

#### sample #22506

- <u>BBP:</u> The determination was not problematic. Three statistical outliers were observed. The calculated reproducibility after rejection of the statistical outliers is in agreement with the reproducibility derived from the iis memo 2201.
- <u>DNOP:</u> The determination was not problematic. No statistical outliers were observed. The calculated reproducibility is in full agreement with the reproducibility derived from the iis memo 2201.

The concentrations of the other reported Phthalates were near or below the detection limit. Therefore, for these components no z-scores were calculated, see appendix 2 for the reported test results.

## 4.2 PERFORMANCE EVALUATION FOR THE GROUP OF LABORATORIES

A comparison has been made between the reproducibility as declared by the reference test method and the reproducibility as found for the group of participating laboratories. The number of significant test results, the average, the calculated reproducibility (2.8 \* standard deviation) and the target reproducibility based on previous proficiency tests are presented in the next tables.

Component	unit	n	average	2.8 * sd	R(target)
DINP	%M/M	41	0.027	0.015	0.016
DIBP	%M/M	45	0.062	0.028	0.037
DNPP	%M/M	40	0.072	0.028	0.042

Table 5: reproducibilities of tests on sample #22505

Component	unit	n	average	2.8 * sd	R(target)
BBP	%M/M	42	0.119	0.046	0.070
DNOP	%M/M	45	0.176	0.111	0.103

Table 6: reproducibilities of tests on sample #22506

Without further statistical calculations, it can be concluded that for all tests there is a good compliance of the group of participants with the reference method.

# 4.3 COMPARISON OF THE PROFICIENCY TEST OF FEBRUARY 2022 WITH PREVIOUS PTS

	February 2022	March 2021	May 2020	May 2019	April 2018
Number of reporting laboratories	45	46	42	54	66
Number of test results	220	256	180	224	123
Number of statistical outliers	7	12	5	10	2
Percentage of statistical outliers	3.2%	4.7%	2.8%	4.5%	1.6%

Table 7: comparison with previous proficiency tests

In proficiency tests, outlier percentages of 3% - 7.5% are quite normal.

The performance of the determinations of the proficiency test was compared, expressed as relative standard deviation (RSD) of the PTs, see below table.

Component	February 2022	March 2021	May 2020	May 2019	2017-2018	iis memo 2201
BBP	14%	17%	22%	n.e.	13-16%	21%
DEHP	n.e.	19%	25%	n.e.	n.e.	21%
DBP	n.e.	17-21%	n.e.	n.e.	18%	21%
DIDP	n.e.	38%	n.e.	21%	n.e.	21%
DINP	20%	18%	n.e.	29%	n.e.	21%
DNOP	22%	n.e.	n.e.	n.e.	n.e.	21%
DCHP	n.e.	n.e.	16%	n.e.	21%	21%
DEP	n.e.	n.e.	23-27%	n.e.	n.e.	21%
DMP	n.e.	n.e.	n.e.	33-46%	n.e.	21%
DNHP	n.e.	n.e.	n.e.	14%	n.e.	21%
DNPP	14%	n.e.	n.e.	n.e.	n.e.	21%
DIBP	16%	n.e.	n.e.	n.e.	16%	21%

Table 8: development of uncertainties over the years

The uncertainty (RSD) of the determined Phthalates in this PT is in line with previous PTs.

#### 4.4 EVALUATION OF THE ANALYTICAL DETAILS

The reported analytical details from the participants are listed in appendix 3. Based on the answers given by the participants the following can be summarized:

- About 88% of the reporting participants mentioned that they are accredited for the determination of Phthalates in Leather/Footwear.
- About 70% of the reporting participants used a test portion between 0.5 and 1 grams. About 28% used less sample material and about 2% used a sample intake of 2 3 grams.
- A vast majority (about 90%) of the reporting participants used an extraction time of 60 minutes.
- Almost all of the reporting participants used an extraction temperature of 50°C or 60°C.
- About 18% of the reporting laboratories used Hexane/Acetone as solvent mixture to release the Phthalates. Another part of the group used Toluene (35%), THF/Hexane (22%), THF (10%), THF/Acetonitrile (10%) as solvent.

Looking at the analytical details, it may be remarkable that several participants used a sample intake of less than 0.5 grams. This deviates with the instruction "Please note, to ensure the homogeneity, do not use less than 0.5 gram per determination" in the accompanied letter of instructions. In test method ISO16181-1 the sample intake of 1.0 gram is described.

For DINP, DIBP and DNPP found in sample #22505 and for BBP and DNOP found in sample #22506 the calculated reproducibility is in agreement with the requirements target reproducibility, therefore no separate statistical analysis has been performed.

### 5 DISCUSSION

In this proficiency test for the determination of Phthalates in Leather/Footwear, it was noticed that almost all of the participants were able to detect the Phthalates present in sample #22505 and sample #22506.

When the results of this interlaboratory study were compared to the LEATHER STANDARD by OEKO-TEX<sup>®</sup> and with the similar BlueSign® systems substances list or BSSL (Table 9), it was noticed that not all participants would make an identical decision about the acceptability of the samples for the determined components.

Six of the reporting laboratories would accept the sample #22505 for all categories while all other laboratories would have rejected the sample #22505 for all categories. Sample #22506 would have been rejected by all the reporting laboratories for all categories.

Ecolabel	baby in %M/M	in direct skin contact in %M/M	no direct skin contact in %M/M
BlueSign <sup>®</sup> BSSL	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
OEKO-TEX <sup>®</sup> 100	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05

Table 9: BlueSign® BSSL and LEATHER STANDARD by OEKO-TEX®

#### 6 CONCLUSION

Although it can be concluded that most of the participants have no problem with the determination on Phthalates in Leather/Footwear in this PT, each participating laboratory will have to evaluate its performance in this study and decide about any corrective actions if necessary.

Therefore, participation on a regular basis in this scheme could be helpful to improve the performance and thus increase of the quality of the analytical results.

#### **APPENDIX 1**

Determination of DINP - Di-iso-nonyl phthalate on sample #22505; results in %M/M

lab	method	value	mark	z(targ)	remarks
210	CPSC-CH-C1001-09 4	0.0266		-0.09	
362	ISO/TS 16181	0.147	R(0.01)	21.06	
551					
623	In house	0.0470	R(0.05)	3.49	
841	In house	0.0219	()	-0.91	
2129	ISO14389	0.0390		2 09	
2159	ISO/TS 16181	0.0316		0.79	
2215	ISO/TS 16181	0.023		-0.72	
2250	ISO14389	0.01376		-2.34	
2265	ISO14389	0.0179		-1 62	
2272	ISO/TS 16181	0.0283		0.21	
2310	ISO/TS 16181	0.028		0.16	
2311	ISO/TS 16181	0.0269		-0.04	
2330	ISO14389	0.0377		1.86	
2347	ISO16181	0.0261		-0.18	
2350	CPSC-CH-C1001-094	0.0344		1 28	
2352	ISO16181-1	0.0044		_0.12	
2358	ISO/TS 16181	0.0204		-0.12	
2365	ISO/TS 16181	0.02303		-0.23	
2300		0.0277		0.10	
2300		0.0200		-0.05	
2309	ISU 14309 CNC15129-1	0.020		-0.19	
2370		0.0221		-0.00	
2372	15014389	0.02209		-0.88	
2375	ISO14389	0.030		0.51	
2378	ISU16181-1	0.026	0	-0.19	
2379	CPSC-CH-C1001-09.4	0.0339	C	1.19	first reported 339.0154 %M/M
2382					
2386	ISO/IS 16181	0.0322		0.89	
2390	ISO14389	0.0292		0.37	
2425	In house	0.0310		0.68	
2449	CPS	0.0284		0.23	
2455					
2511	ISO16181-1	0.0194		-1.35	
2520	ISO/TS 16181	0.027		-0.02	
2532	ISO/TS 16181	0.0265		-0.11	
2549	ISO/TS 16181	0.0321		0.88	
2590	ISO/TS 16181	0.0244		-0.48	
2668	ISO/TS 16181	0.0331		1.05	
2695	ISO/TS 16181	not determined			
2703	In house	0.019		-1.42	
2756					
2815	ISO/TS 16181	0.0272		0.02	
2820	ISO/TS 16181	0.02417	С	-0.52	first reported 0.06959
2977	ISO/TS 16181	0.0268		-0.05	
2980	ISO/TS 16181	0.025	С	-0.37	first reported 0.03
3172	ISO8124-6	< 0.0005		<-4.67	possibly a false negative test result?
3210	In house	0.0364		1.63	
3228	ISO14389	0.0262		-0.16	
3250	ISO14389	0.0215		-0.99	
	normality	OK			
	n	41			
	outliers	2			
	mean (n)	0.02711			
	st.dev. (n)	0.005292	RSD = 20%		
	R(calc.)	0.01482			
	st.dev.(iis memo 2201)	0.005693			
	R(iis memo 2201)	0.01594			



# Determination of DIBP - Di-iso-butyl phthalate on sample #22505; results in %M/M

lab	method	value	mark	z(targ)	remarks
210	CPSC-CH-C1001-09.4	0.0563		-0.46	
362	ISO/TS 16181	0.053		-0.71	
551	les le sur s				
623 041	In house	0.069		0.52	
2120		0.0049		0.20	
2120	ISO/TS 16181	0.0563		-0.46	
2215	ISO/TS 16181	0.075		0.97	
2250	ISO14389	0.05744		-0.37	
2265	ISO14389	0.0591		-0.24	
2272	ISO/TS 16181	0.0645		0.17	
2310	ISO/TS 16181	0.054		-0.63	
2311	ISO/IS 16181	0.0550		-0.55	
2330	15014389	0.0444		-1.37	
2350	CPSC-CH-C1001-094	0.0741		0.91	
2352	ISO16181-1	0.0751		0.98	
2358	ISO/TS 16181	0.07255		0.79	
2365	ISO/TS 16181	0.0738		0.88	
2366	CPSC 09.4	0.0708		0.65	
2369	ISO14389	0.07		0.59	
2370	CNS15138-1	0.0649		0.20	
2372	15014389	0.06746		0.40	
2373	15014309	0.057		-0.40	
2370	CPSC-CH-C1001-094	0.074	С	-1.52	first reported 424 3826 %M/M
2382			0		
2386	ISO/TS 16181	0.0545		-0.59	
2390	ISO14389	0.0463		-1.22	
2425	In house	0.0680		0.44	
2449	CPS	0.0445		-1.36	
2455	10010101 1				
2511	ISU16181-1 ISO/TS 16181	0.0621	C	-0.01	first reported 0.002
2520	ISO/TS 16181	0.046	C	-1.09	liist reported 0.092
2549	ISO/TS 16181	0.0712		0.68	
2590	ISO/TS 16181	0.0719		0.74	
2668	ISO/TS 16181	0.0678		0.42	
2695	ISO/TS 16181	0.04969		-0.96	
2703	In house	0.067		0.36	
2756					
2815	ISO/IS 16181	0.0673		0.39	
2020	ISO/TS 16181	0.06640		0.32	
2980	ISO/TS 16181	0.0332	C	-0.09	first reported 0.09
3172	ISO8124-6	0.06788	0	0.43	
3210	In house	0.0561		-0.47	
3228	ISO14389	0.0723		0.77	
3250	ISO14389	0.0569		-0.41	
		<b></b>			
	normality	OK			
	n outliere	45			
	mean (n)	0 06226			
	st dev (n)	0.009881	RSD = 16%		
	R(calc.)	0.02767	1102 1070		
	st.dev.(iis memo 2201)	0.013074			
	R(iis memo 2201)	0.03661			
0.12 T					45
					40 Kernel Density



# Determination of DNPP - Di-n-pentyl phthalate on sample #22505; results in %M/M

lab	method	value	mark	z(targ)	remarks
210	CPSC-CH-C1001-09.4	0.0652		-0.43	
362					
551					
623	In house	0.058		-0.90	
841	In house	0.0734		0.12	
2129	ISO14389	0.0900		1.22	
2159	ISO/IS 16181	0.0607		-0.72	
2210	150/15 10101	0.000		0.09	
2265	ISO14389	0.07233		0.00	
2272	ISO/TS 16181	0.0800		0.56	
2310	ISO/TS 16181	0.061		-0.70	
2311	ISO/TS 16181	0.0614		-0.68	
2330	ISO14389	0.0756		0.27	
2347	ISO16181	0.0784		0.45	
2350	CPSC-CH-C1001-09.4	0.0847		0.87	
2352	ISO16181-1	0.0798	0	0.55	
2358	ISO/IS 16181	not applicable	С		first reported not detected
2305		0.0790		0.49	
2360	ISO1/389	0.0704		0.45	
2370	CNS15138-1	0.0750		0.00	
2372	ISO14389	0.07554		0.26	
2375	ISO14389	0.062		-0.64	
2378	ISO16181-1	0.078		0.43	
2379	CPSC-CH-C1001-09.4	0.0642	С	-0.49	first reported 642.0898 %M/M
2382					
2386	ISO/TS 16181	0.0694		-0.15	
2390	ISO14389	0.0764		0.32	
2425	In nouse	0.0750		0.23	
2449	CP3	0.0743		0.10	
2400	ISO16181-1	0.0512		-1.36	
2520	ISO/TS 16181	0.045	С	-1.77	first reported 0.16
2532	ISO/TS 16181	0.0795		0.53	
2549	ISO/TS 16181	0.0735		0.13	
2590					
2668	ISO/TS 16181	0.0717		0.01	
2695	ISO/TS 16181	0.02821	C,R(0.01)	-2.89	first reported 0.02959
2703	In house	0.062		-0.64	
2/50	100/TS 16191			0.25	
2010	ISO/TS 16181	0.0709		0.35	
2977	ISO/TS 16181	0.0695		-0.14	
2980	ISO/TS 16181	0.05	С	-1.44	first reported 0.15
3172	ISO8124-6	0.07914		0.50	
3210	In house	0.0615		-0.67	
3228	ISO14389	0.0780		0.43	
3250	ISO14389	0.0215	R(0.01)	-3.33	
		014			
	normality	0K 40			
	outliers	40 2			
	mean (n)	0.07159			
	st.dev. (n)	0.010023	RSD = 14%		
	R(calc.)	0.02806			
	st.dev.(iis memo 2201)	0.015035			
	R(iis memo 2201)	0.04210			



# Determination of BBP - Benzyl butyl phthalate on sample #22506; results in %M/M

lab	method	value	mark	z(targ)	remarks
210	CPSC-CH-C1001-09.4	0.1176		-0.05	
362	ISO/TS 16181	0.056	R(0.05)	-2.52	
551					
623	In house	0.1510		1.29	
841 2120	In nouse	0.1184		-0.02	
2129	ISO/TS 16181	0.155		_0.00	
2215	ISO/TS 16181	0.129		0.03	
2250	ISO14389	0.1250		0.25	
2265	ISO14389	0.1289		0.41	
2272	ISO/TS 16181	0.1263		0.30	
2310	ISO/TS 16181	0.108		-0.43	
2311	ISO/TS 16181	0.1119		-0.28	
2330	ISO14389	0.1272		0.34	
2347	GB/132440	0.1221		0.13	
2350	LPSC-CH-C1001-09.4	0.1281		0.37	
2352	ISO/TS 16181	0.1201		0.37	
2365	ISO/TS 16181	0 12004		0.25	
2366	CPSC 09.4	0.1262		0.30	
2369	ISO14389	0.1273		0.34	
2370	CNS15138-1	0.120		0.05	
2372	CNS15138-1	0.1168		-0.08	
2375	ISO14389	0.120		0.05	
2378	ISO16181-1	0.125	0	0.25	
2379	CPSC-CH-C1001-09.4	0.1294	С	0.43	first reported 1294.2367 %M/M
2382	ISO/TS 16191			0.00	
2300	ISO/13 10101	0.1210		0.09	
2425	In house	0.140		-0.09	
2449	CPS	0.1365		0.71	
2455					
2511	ISO16181-1	0.1221		0.13	
2520	ISO/TS 16181	0.054	C,R(0.05)	-2.60	first reported 0.22
2532	ISO/TS 16181	0.109		-0.39	
2549	ISO/TS 16181	0.1211	0	0.09	
2590	ISO/IS 16181	0.12	C	0.05	first reported 0.069
2008	ISO/IS 10181	0.1105	C	-0.33	first reported 0.06484
2095	In house	0.072209	C	-1.00	list reported 0.00404
2756	innouse			-1.10	
2815	ISO/TS 16181	0.1204		0.06	
2820	ISO/TS 16181	0.09140		-1.10	
2977	ISO/TS 16181	0.1100		-0.35	
2980	ISO/TS 16181	0.05	C,R(0.05)	-2.76	first reported 0.21
3172	ISO8124-6	0.12148		0.11	
3210	In house	0.0767		-1.69	
3228	ISO14389	0.1211		0.09	
3250	15014389	0.0856		-1.33	
	normality	suspect			
	n	42			
	outliers	3			
	mean (n)	0.11878			
	st.dev. (n)	0.016481	RSD = 14%		
	R(calc.)	0.04615			
	st.dev.(iis memo 2201)	0.024944			
	R(IIS memo 2201)	0.06984			



# Determination of DNOP - Di-n-octyl phthalate on sample #22506; results in %M/M

lab	method	value	mark	z(targ)	remarks
210	CPSC-CH-C1001-09.4	0.1992		0.63	
362	ISO/TS 16181	0.081		-2.57	
551	la havea				
023 9/1	In house	0.2100		1.14	
2129	ISO14389	0.1020		-0.30	
2159	ISO/TS 16181	0.1699		-0.16	
2215	ISO/TS 16181	0.213		1.01	
2250	ISO14389	0.1730		-0.08	
2265	ISO14389	0.2339		1.57	
2272	ISO/TS 16181	0.1844		0.23	
2310	ISO/IS 16181	0.159		-0.46	
2311	150/15 10181	0.1692		-0.18	
2347	GB/T32440	0.1703		0.13	
2350	CPSC-CH-C1001-09 4	0 1889		0.35	
2352	ISO16181-1	0.1926		0.45	
2358	ISO/TS 16181	0.1822		0.17	
2365	ISO/TS 16181	0.1990		0.63	
2366	CPSC 09.4	0.2015		0.69	
2369	ISO14389	0.1996		0.64	
2370	CNS15138-1	0.175		-0.02	
2372	ISO1/380	0.1090		-0.10	
2378	ISO16181-1	0.100		-0.27	
2379	CPSC-CH-C1001-09.4	0.1497	С	-0.71	first reported 1496.9452 %M/M
2382					
2386	ISO/TS 16181	0.1888		0.35	
2390	ISO14389	0.2338		1.57	
2425	In house	0.1790		0.08	
2449	CPS	0.2346		1.59	
2400 2511	19016181-1	0 1745		-0.04	
2520	ISO/TS 16181	0.084	С	-2.49	first reported 0.26
2532	ISO/TS 16181	0.162	0	-0.38	
2549	ISO/TS 16181	0.1811		0.14	
2590	ISO/TS 16181	0.1442		-0.86	
2668	ISO/TS 16181	0.1625	_	-0.36	
2695	ISO/TS 16181	0.094837	С	-2.19	first reported 0.08935
2703	In house	0.143		-0.89	
2700	ISO/TS 16181	0 18/0		0.24	
2820	ISO/TS 16181	0.23571		1.62	
2977	ISO/TS 16181	0.1595		-0.44	
2980	ISO/TS 16181	0.075	С	-2.73	first reported 0.26
3172	ISO8124-6	0.17802		0.06	
3210	In house	0.1108		-1.76	
3228	ISO14389	0.195		0.52	
3250	18014389	0.2114		0.96	
	normality	OK			
	n	45			
	outliers	0			
	mean (n)	0.17587			
	st.dev. (n)	0.039551	RSD = 22%		
	R(calc.)	0.11074			
	st.dev.(IIs memo 2201)	0.036933			
	ruis memo 2201)	0.10341			
<sup>0.3</sup> [					16 Kernel Donsity
0.25					14 -



#### **APPENDIX 2**

Summary of other Phthalates in sample #22505: results in %M/M

BBP = Benzyl butyl phthalate

- = Di-(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate DEHP
- DBP = Dibutyl phthalate DIDP
- = Di-iso-decyl phthalate
- DNOP = Di-n-octyl phthalate DCHP = Dicyclohexyl phthalate
- DEP = Diethyl phthalate
- BBP DEHP DBP DIDP DNOP DCHP DEP Lab 210 362 \_\_\_\_ 551 623 not detected 841 0.005 0.005 0.005 0.005 0.005 0.005 0.005 2129 2159 < 0.005 < 0.005 < 0.005 < 0.005 < 0.005 < 0.005 < 0.005 not detected not detected 2215 not detected not detected not detected not detected not detected 2250 < 0,01 < 0,01 < 0.01 < 0,01 2265 < 0,01 < 0,01 < 0,01 2272 Not Detected Not Detected Not Detected Not Detected Not Detected Not Detected 2310 Not Detected 2311 Not Detected 2330 Not detected 2347 < 0.003 < 0.003 < 0.003 <0.010 < 0.003 < 0.003 < 0.003 2350 < 0.015 < 0.015 < 0.015 < 0.015 < 0.015 < 0.015 < 0.015 2352 2358 not detected not detected not detected not detected not detected not applicable not applicable 2365 < 0.0050 <0.0050 <0.0050 <0.0050 < 0.0050 <0.0050 <0.0050 2366 < 0.003 <0.003 < 0.003 < 0.003 < 0.003 2369 < 0.003 < 0.01 2370 < 0.00500 < 0.00500 < 0.00500 < 0.00500 < 0.00500 < 0.00500 <0.00500 2372 < 0.005 < 0.005 < 0.005 < 0.005 < 0.005 < 0.005 < 0.005 2375 2378 < 0.005 < 0.005 <0.005 < 0.005 < 0.005 <0.005 < 0.005 2379 <u>0.0037</u> 0.0022 not detected not detected not detected not detected not detected 2382 < 0.005 < 0.005 < 0.005 < 0.005 < 0.005 < 0.005 <0.005 2386 2390 not detected not detected 0.0214 not detected not detected not detected not detected 2425 Not detected 2449 0.0187 \_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_ 2455 --------------------\_\_\_\_ 2511 2520 < 0.005 < 0.005 < 0.005 < 0.005 < 0.005 < 0.005 < 0.005 Not Detected Not Detected Not Detected Not Detected 2532 Not Detected Not Detected Not Detected 2549 Not detected 2590 0.0651 2668 Not Detected 2695 not determined 2703 0.001 \_\_\_\_ ---------2756 2815 not detected not detected not detected not detected not detected not detected \_\_\_\_\_ 2820 2977 < 0.0010 < 0.0010 < 0.0010 < 0.0010 < 0.0010 not analyzed not analyzed 2980 < detection limit < 0.0005 3172 < 0.0005< 0.0005< 0.0005< 0.0005< 0.0005< 0.00053210 < 0.002 < 0.002 < 0.002 < 0.005 < 0.002 < 0.002 < 0.002 3228 not detected not detected not detected not detected not detected 3250

#### bold, italic and underline results

ab 2358 first reported not detected lab 2379 first reported 36.9943 %M/M (BBP), 22.4001 %M/M (DEHP) \_\_\_\_

#### Summary of other Phthalates in sample #22505: results in %M/M - continued

DMP = Dimethyl phthalate DNHP = Di-n hexyl phthalate

- DPHP = Di(2-propylheptyl) phthalate
- DUP = Diundecyl phthalate
- DPrP = Di-n-propyl phthalate
- DMEP = Di-(2-methoxyethyl) phthalate
- Other = Other Phthalates

Lab	DMP	DNHP	DPHP	DUP	DPrP	DMEP	Other
210							
362							
551							
623	not detected						
841	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005
2129				not analyzed			
2159	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005
2215	not detected						
2250							
2265	< 0,01	< 0,01	< 0,01	< 0,01	< 0,01	< 0,01	< 0,01
2272							
2310	Not Detected						
2311	Not Detected						
2330	Not detected						
2347	<0.003	<0.003	<0.003	<0.003	<0.003	<0.003	
2350	< 0.015	< 0.015	< 0.015	< 0.015	< 0.015	< 0.015	< 0.015
2352							
2358	not applicable	not detected					
2365	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050
2366							
2369	< 0.003	< 0.003	< 0.003	< 0.003	< 0.003	< 0.003	< 0.003
2370	< 0.00500	< 0.00500	< 0.00500	< 0.00500	<0.00500	< 0.00500	< 0.00500
2372	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	0.16509
2375							
2378	< 0.005	<0.005	< 0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	
2379	not detected						
2382							
2380	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	
2390	Not detected						
2420	Not detected	Not delected	0.1740				
2449							
2400							
2511	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005
2520	< 0.000 Not Detected	< 0.003 Not Detected	< 0.003 Not Detected	< 0.000 Not Detected	< 0.003 Not Detected	< 0.005 Not Dotoctod	< 0.005 Not Detected
25/0	Not detected						
2500							
2668	Not Detected						
2605	not determined						
2703							
2756							
2815		not detected				not detected	
2820							
2977	not analvzed	< 0.0010	not analyzed	< 0.0010	not analyzed	< 0.0010	not analvzed
2980	< detection limit						
3172	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	< 0.0005
3210	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	
3228						not detected	
3250							

bold, italic and underline result

lab 2358 first reported not detected

#### Summary of other Phthalates in sample #22506: results in %M/M

- DEHP = Di-(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate
- DBP = Dibutyl phthalate
- DIDP = Di-iso-decyl phthalate
- DINP = Di-iso-nonyl phthalate
- DCHP = Dicyclohexyl phthalate
- DEP = Diethyl phthalate
- DMP = Dimethyl phthalate

DNHP = Di-n-hexyl phthalate

Lab	DEHP	DBP	DIDP	DINP	DCHP	DEP	DMP	DNHP
210								
362								
551								
623	not detected							
841	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005
2129								
2159	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005
2215	not detected							
2250								
2265	< 0,01	< 0,01	< 0,01	< 0,01	< 0,01	< 0,01	< 0,01	< 0,01
2272								
2310	Not detected							
2311	Not detected							
2330	Not detected							
2347	<0.003	<0.003	<0.010	<0.010	<0.003	< 0.003	<0.003	<0.003
2350	< 0.015	< 0.015	< 0.015	< 0.015	< 0.015	< 0.015	< 0.015	< 0.015
2352								
2358	not detected							
2365	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050
2366								
2369	<0.003	<0.003	<0.01	<0.01	<0.003	<0.003	<0.003	<0.003
2370	<0.00500	<0.00500	<0.00500	<0.00500	<0.00500	<0.00500	<0.00500	<0.00500
2372	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005
2375								
2378	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005
2379	not detected							
2382								
2386	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005
2390	not detected	0.0435	not detected					
2425	Not detected							
2449		0.0428						
2455								
2511								
2520	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005
2532	Not detected							
2549	Not detected							
2590								Not detected
2668	Not detected							
2695	not determ.							
2703		0.001						
2756								
2815	0.0041	not detected	not detected	not detected	not detected			0.0495
2820		0.00126		0.00308				
2977	< 0.0010	< 0.0010	< 0.0010	< 0.0010	not analyzed	not analyzed	not analyzed	< 0.0010
2980	< detect. limit							
3172	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	< 0.0005
3210	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.005	<0.005	< 0.002	<0.002	<0.002	< 0.002
3228	not detected	not detected	not detected	not detected				
3250								

#### Summary of other Phthalates in sample #22506: results in %M/M - continued

- DIBP = Di-iso-butyl phthalate
- DPHP = Di(2-propylheptyl) phthalate
- DNPP = Di-n-pentyl phthalate
- DUP = Diundecyl phthalate
- DPrP = Di-n-propyl phthalate
- DMEP = Di-(2-methoxyethyl) phthalate
- Other = Other Phthalates

Lab	DIBP	DPHP	DNPP	DUP	DPrP	DMEP	Other
210	0.0143						
362							
551							
623	0.0070	not detected	not detected	not detected	not detected	not detected	not detected
841	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005
2129				not analyzed			
2159	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005
2215	not detected	not detected	not detected	not detected	not detected	not detected	not detected
2250							
2265	< 0,01	< 0,01	< 0,01	< 0,01	< 0,01	< 0,01	< 0,01
2272	0.0067						
2310	Not detected	Not Detected	Not Detected	Not Detected	Not Detected	Not Detected	Not Detected
2311	Not detected	Not Detected	Not Detected	Not Detected	Not Detected	Not Detected	Not Detected
2330	0.0045	Not detected	Not detected	Not detected	Not detected	Not detected	Not detected
2347	0.0062	<0.003	<0.003	<0.003	<0.003	<0.003	
2350	< 0.015	< 0.015	< 0.015	< 0.015	< 0.015	< 0.015	< 0.015
2352							
2358	0.007086	not detected	not detected	not detected	not detected	not detected	not detected
2365	0.0063	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050
2366							
2369	0.0057	<0.003	<0.003	<0.003	<0.003	<0.003	<0.003
2370	<0.00500	<0.00500	<0.00500	<0.00500	<0.00500	<0.00500	<0.00500
2372	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	0.2866
2375							
2378	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	
2379	<u>0.0074</u>	not detected	<u>0.0042</u>	not detected	not detected	not detected	not detected
2382							
2386	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	
2390	not detected	not detected	not detected	not detected	not detected	not detected	not detected
2425	0.0077	Not detected	Not detected	Not detected	Not detected	Not detected	0.3032
2449							
2455							
2511							
2520	0.009	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005
2532	0.0107	Not Detected	Not Detected	Not Detected	Not Detected	Not Detected	Not Detected
2549	0.0078	Not Detected	Not Detected	Not Detected	Not Detected	Not Detected	Not Detected
2590	0.00340						
2668	0.01	Not Detected	Not Detected	Not Detected	Not Detected	Not Detected	Not Detected
2695	not determ.	not determined	not determined	not determined	not determined	not determined	not determined
2703	0.005		0.001				
2756							
2815	0.0050		not detected			not detected	
2820	0.00398		0.00153				
2977	0.0017	not analyzed	< 0.0010	< 0.0010	not analyzed	< 0.0010	not analyzed
2980	0.01	< detection limit	< detection limit				< detection limit
31/2	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	< 0.0005
3210	0.0049	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	
3228	not detected		not detected			not detected	
3250				0.8997			

bold, italic and underline result

lab 2379 first reported 73.9154 %M/M (DIBP), 42.0910 %M/M (DNPP)

# **APPENDIX 3 Analytical details**

lab	ISO/IEC17025 accredited	Sample intake (in grams)	Solvent (mixture) used to	Extraction time	Extraction temp. (in °C)
210	Yes	( g)	,	(	(
362	Yes	1a	Toluene	60	(60+5)
551		-9		00	(0020)
623	Yes	0.1 gram	THF : Hexane	60	60
841	Yes	0.5 grams	Toluene	60	60
2129	Yes	0.5	THF	60	60
2159	Yes	1	Toluene	60	60
2215	Yes	1g	10ml	60	60
2250	Yes	0,3	THF/ACN (1:2)	60	60
2265	Yes	0,3g	THF/Hexan (1:2)	60	60
2272	Yes	1g	toluene	1hour	60°C
2310	Yes	0.5g	Hexane	60	50
2311	Yes	0.5	Acetone and Hexane	60	50
2330	Yes	0.30 gram	Tetrahydrofuran (THF) : Hexane ratio 1:2	1 hour	60 ± 5
2347	No	0.5g	Toluene	60	60
2350	Yes	0.5 g	THF + ACN	2 h 30 min	60
2352	Yes	0.5g	Toluene	60	60
2358	Yes	1 gram	n-hexane : acetone (80:20)	60	50
2365	Yes	1g	toluene	60	60
2366	Yes				
2369					
2370	Yes	0.5 g	THF:Hexane=10:20 mL	30	room temperature
2372	Yes	0.3 g	THF	60	60
2375	Yes	0.3g	THF	60	60
2378	Yes	1g	toluene	60	60
2379	Yes	0.1 gram	Tetrahydrofurane / Hexane	60	60
2382					
2386	Yes	0,5	n-Hexane/Acetone	60	50
2390	Yes	0.1g	THF/Hexane	60	60
2425	Yes	0.5g	Toluene	60	60
2449	Yes	0.3	THF and ACN	30	60
2455					
2511	Yes				
2520	No	0.5 gm	Toulene	60	60
2532	Yes	0.5g	n-Hexane/Acetone 80:20	60	50
2549	Yes	0.5 g	Toluene	60	60
2590	Yes	1g		60	60
2668	Yes	0.5 gms	THF:Hexane	60	60
2695	No	1	Hexane:Acetone	60	50
2703	Yes	0.5g	IHF/Hexane	150	60
2756					
2815	Yes	~ 2	80% n-hexane 20% aceton	60	50
2820	Yes	0,5		60	60
2977	NO	i g	IHF:Hexane=1:2	1 hour	60
2980	INO	Т	nexan/aceton	UO	UØ
31/2		1 -	Taluana	<u></u>	<u></u>
3210	res	ig		00	00
3228	res	0.3		00	00
3250	Yes	0.3g	THF/ACN	Thours	60

#### **APPENDIX 4**

#### Number of participants per country

1 lab in BANGLADESH 1 lab in BRAZIL 1 lab in BULGARIA 2 labs in CAMBODIA 2 labs in EGYPT 1 lab in ETHIOPIA 1 lab in FRANCE 4 labs in GERMANY 1 lab in HONG KONG 5 labs in INDIA 1 lab in INDONESIA 5 labs in ITALY 1 lab in KOREA, Republic of 1 lab in MOROCCO 10 labs in P.R. of CHINA 2 labs in PAKISTAN 1 lab in SWITZERLAND 2 labs in TAIWAN 1 lab in THAILAND 1 lab in TUNISIA 2 labs in TURKEY 1 lab in U.S.A. 1 lab in UNITED KINGDOM 1 lab in VIETNAM

#### **APPENDIX 5**

## Abbreviations

С	= final test result after checking of first reported suspect test result
D(0.01)	= outlier in Dixon's outlier test
D(0.05)	= straggler in Dixon's outlier test
G(0.01)	= outlier in Grubbs' outlier test
G(0.05)	= straggler in Grubbs' outlier test
DG(0.01)	= outlier in Double Grubbs' outlier test
DG(0.05)	= straggler in Double Grubbs' outlier test
R(0.01)	= outlier in Rosner's outlier test
R(0.05)	= straggler in Rosner's outlier test
W	= test result withdrawn on request of participant
ex	= test result excluded from statistical evaluation
n.a.	= not applicable
n.e.	= not evaluated
n.d.	= not detected
fr.	= first reported
f+?	= possibly a false positive test result?
f-?	= possibly a false negative test result?

#### Literature

- 1 iis Interlaboratory Studies, Protocol for the Organisation, Statistics & Evaluation, June 2018
- 2 ISO5725:86
- 3 ISO5725 parts 1-6:94
- 4 ISO13528:05
- 5 M. Thompson and R. Wood, J. AOAC Int, <u>76</u>, 926, (1993)
- 6 W.J. Youden and E.H. Steiner, Statistical Manual of the AOAC, (1975)
- 7 P.L. Davies, Fr. Z. Anal. Chem, <u>331</u>, 513, (1988)
- 8 J.N. Miller, Analyst, <u>118</u>, 455, (1993)
- 9 Analytical Methods Committee, Technical Brief, No 4, January 2001
- 10 P.J. Lowthian and M. Thompson, The Royal Society of Chemistry, Analyst, <u>127</u>, 1359-1364, (2002)
- 11 W. Horwitz and R. Albert, J. AOAC Int, <u>79.3</u>, 589-621, (1996)
- 12 Bernard Rosner, Percentage Points for a Generalized ESD Many-Outlier Procedure, Technometrics, <u>25(2)</u>, 165-172, (1983)
- 13 iis memo 2201: reproducibility of Phthalates in Leather/Footwear, www.iisnl.com